

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

JULIA JUNGE and RICHARD JUNGE, on
behalf of themselves and a class of similarly
situated investors,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GERON CORPORATION and JOHN A.
SCARLETT,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-cv-00547-WHA (DMR)

Class Action

(Consolidated with Case No. 3:20-cv-
01163-WHA)

(Related to Case No. 3:20-cv-02823-WHA;
3:22-mc-80051-WHA)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING
PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET
SETTLEMENT FUND**

1 This matter came before the Court pursuant to the Notice of Motion and Motion for Final
2 Approval of Settlement and Plan of Allocation (“Motion for Final Approval”) filed in the above-
3 captioned certified securities class action (the “Action”) dated February 2, 2023 (ECF No. 260) for
4 hearing on March 30, 2023 under the Order Setting Schedule in Advance of March 30, 2023
5 Settlement Fairness Hearing (“Order”) dated October 17, 2022 (ECF No. 259), which preliminarily
6 approved the settlement set forth in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated September
7 2, 2022 (the “Settlement” or the “Stipulation”). ECF No. 247.

8 Due and adequate notice having been given to the Class as required by the Order, and the
9 Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and being fully informed in
10 the premises and good cause appearing therefore,

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

12 1. This Order incorporates by reference the Stipulation, and all terms used
13 herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise set forth herein.

14 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all
15 parties to the Action, including all members of the Class.

16 3. Copies of the Settlement Notice, which included the formula for the
17 calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants (the “Plan of Allocation”), were mailed to over
18 145,000 potential Class Members and nominees and no objection to the Plan of Allocation was
19 received.

20 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation as set forth
21 in the Settlement Notice provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of
22 the Net Settlement Fund among the Class Members, and in all respects, fair and reasonable to the
23 Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.

24 5. The finality of the Judgement entered with respect to the Settlement shall not
25 be affected in any manner by this Order, or any appeal from this Order approving the Plan of
26 Allocation.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____

HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE